

Published on *American Geosciences Institute* (https://www.americangeosciences.org) Home > Implementing the Nuclear Waste Policy Act - Next Steps

Implementing the Nuclear Waste Policy Act - Next Steps

Witnesses:
Allison Macfarlane
Chairman, Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Peter Lyons
Assistant Secretary for Nuclear Energy, Department of Energy

Committee Members Present:

On Septembrian kus 2R+IL) Subcommontere in Chearmanerry and Commerce Subcommittee on Environment and the Economy held a hearing to patchess. It is particularly an experience of the interest of Energy (DOE) regarding in Septembrian (D-MI) construction of a decodic repository for nuclear waste at Yucca Mountain, Nevada.

Ralph Hall (R-TX) In 1982, Congress enacted the Nuclear Waste Policy Act, which "established a multi-stage statutory framework processed the Nuclear Waste Policy Act, which "established a multi-stage statutory framework processed the Nuclear Waste Policy Act, which "established a multi-stage statutory framework processed the Nuclear Waste Policy Act, which "established a multi-stage statutory framework processed the Nuclear Waste Policy Act, which "established a multi-stage statutory framework processed the Nuclear Waste Policy Act, which "established a multi-stage statutory framework processed the Nuclear Waste Policy Act, which "established a multi-stage statutory framework processed the New York of the Nuclear Waste Repository." Congress chose Yugari New Policy (R-W) including the New York of the National New York of National New York of the National New York

The NRC is seeking comments from interested participants by Sept. 30, 2013 on how to most efficiently approach the Yucca Mountain licensing process. Macfarlane could not say whether the NRC will appeal the court ruling, which demands that it re-start the Yucca Mountain project, until comments have been reviewed.

The NRC has \$11.1 million from the Nuclear Waste Fund in unobligated carry over money. Based on the testimony from Macfarlane, the NRC has sufficient funding to complete the Safety Evaluation Report (SER). Macfarlane emphasized that the SER is just one step in ensuring safety, and that the NRC also needs to complete the environmental impacts survey. Rep. Tim Murphy (R-PA) calculated that the DOE and NRC combined have been allotted nearly \$150 million in total to investigate Yucca Mountain as a high-level storage site, and is concerned the money was mismanaged.

Rep. Lois Capps (D-CA) expressed concern over seismic activity at the repository as well as long-term storage. Capps was under the impression the casks for storage were safe for 100 years. Macfarlane responded that the NRC licensed a cask for 20 years and renewed it for another 20 years, but were still unsure beyond that time. Capps asked for a backup plan if the Yucca Repository is not sufficient. Macfarlane noted that was a policy question and then referred the question to the Chairman to address permanent storage.

The hearing also addressed the DOE actions to cooperate with NRC and with the Court's decision. Republican representatives interrogated Macfarlane about the court's ruling that the NRC had violated federal law by not pursuing a license review of the project. Rep. John Shimkus (R-IL), the subcommittee Chairman, said the NRC was continuing to "flout the law" by not having reached a decision yet. Macfarlane noted that the NRC began taking steps to comply with the court's direction following the issuance of the decision and were doing their best to expedite the process.

Opening statements, witness testimonies and an archived webcast of the hearing can be found on the Committee web site.