THE MYTH OF 100 YEARS OF GAS SUPPLY

AGI Forum, Fort Worth, TX November 19, 2014 Richard Nehring



Fig. 1. Natural Gas Production by Type in the Contiguous U.S., 1960-2006



Fig. 2. Natural Gas Production by Type in the Contiguous U.S., 1960-2012



THE PROMISE

- New technologies have proved their potential
- Increasing production occurring despite
 plummeting prices
- Therefore [*trumpet flourish*]: 100 years or more of gas supply
- Cornucopia of benefits



THE REALITY

- Geologic constraints majority of new gas areas are low productivity
- Costs count recent production increases limited to a few low cost areas
- Low cost areas are geographically limited
- The promise of a 100 years of gas supply is thus a classic overpromote – a myth (in the pejorative sense)





Source: Steve Holditch, SPE

The U.S. Gas Resource Pyramid View 1) Reservoir Rock Volume (12:1 Ratio)



Unconventional

The U.S. Gas Resource Pyramid View 2) Porous Reservoir Rock Volume (3:1 Ratio)



Unconventional

The U.S. Gas Resource Pyramid Rectangle View 3) Recoverable Gas (1:1 Ratio)



Produced

Produced

Unconventional

HOW MUCH GAS DO WE NEED TO PROVIDE 100 YEARS OF SUPPLY?

- 2500 3000 trillion cubic feet (TCF)
- 26.2 TCF (2013 U.S. consumption)
- 2-2.5 X 1200 TCF (US cumulative gas production thru 2013)
- 4-5X 604 TCF (US gas production, 1980-2012)



MASSIVE GAS RESOURCES REQUIRE MASSIVE GAS PLAYS

- Monster Mega (400+ TCF) 1 600 TCF
- Super Mega (100-400 TCF) 3 750
- Large Mega (60-100 TCF) 5 400
- Small Mega (30-60 TCF) 10 450
- Large Major (15-30 TCF) 15 300
- Small Major (3-15 TCF) 20 200
- Total 2700 TCF (54 plays)



U.S. MASSIVE GAS PLAY POTENTIAL

- Monster Mega (400+ TCF) 0 0 TCF
- Super Mega (100-400 TCF) 1 250
- Large Mega (60-100 TCF) 0 0
- Small Mega (30-60 TCF) 4 180
- Large Major (15-30 TCF) 6 120
- Small Major (3-15 TCF) 15-20 150-200
- Total: 700-750 TCF (26-31 plays)



GEOLOGIC LIMITS ON TECHNOLOGY

- Low porosity (low density)
- Low Total Organic Carbon (TOC)
- Immature or overmature
- High ductility (shales)
- Low pressure (CBM)



KEY LESSONS LEARNED

- Variability within plays and the ability to map, explain, and predict this variability
- Salience of well density and completion practices
- Importance of cost of production
 - Supply curve instead of technically recoverable resources
- Development of assessment methods that incorporate these lessons



SHALE GAS

- Largest of new resources (includes tight oil)
- Not enough mega plays
 - Marcellus: only super mega play
 - Only four other mega plays: Barnett, Eagleford, Haynesville, and Utica
- Only a few other major plays
- Cumulative (thru 2012): 67 TCF
- Ultimate potential: 460-760 TCF



TIGHT SANDSTONES/CARBONATES

- Mostly major plays at least 24
 - Only two (barely) posible mega plays
- Leading source of unconventional production thru 2010
- Mostly mature majority of plays developed and peaked between 1995 and 2005
- Cumulative (thru 2012): 140 TCF
- Ultimate Potential: 270-340 TCF



COALBED METHANE

- Most disappointing unconventional resource
- Only one mega play (Fruitland CBM)
- Four small major plays
- Most remaining potential is high cost
- Cumulative (thru 2012): 31 TCF
- Ultimate Potential: 56-70 TCF



TRANSITIONAL RESOURCES

- Limited major geological constraints
 - Deepwater low thermal gradient
 - Deep/Ultra Deep poor reservoir quality and thermal destruction
- All have peaked (Deep in 1970s!)
- Cumulative (thru 2012): 55 TCF
- Ultimate Potential: 77-100 TCF



CONVENTIONAL RESOURCES

- Great resource, but highly mature
- Few sizeable discoveries in the past 25 years
- Cumulative (thru 2012): 882 TCF
- Ultimate Potential: 975-1050 TCF



REMAINING US GAS RESOURCES BY BROAD TYPE

- Conventional 93-168 TCF
- Transitional 22-45 TCF
- Unconventional 549-926 TCF
- Total 664-1139 TCF

(27-46 years @ 25 TCF/year)



IMPLICATIONS: PRODUCTION AND PRICES

- Production likely to plateau by 2020
- Production greater than 25 TCF/year likely to be maintained only to 2025-2040
- Low cost (<\$4/Mcf) resources will be largely developed by 2020; gas development from 2020 to 2030 will need \$5-8/Mcf prices
- Because post-2020 wells will have lower productivity, maintaining production will require more rigs drilling for natural gas



IMPLICATIONS: DEMAND

- Expanding markets for natural gas is an idea whose time has gone
- Increasing use for transportation would require displacing traditional uses
- Gas supply insufficient and too expensive to displace coal and nuclear for generation
- Other than pipeline exports to eastern Canada and Mexico, exports (specifically LNG) are not good for domestic consumers



CONCLUSIONS

- Expanded domestic gas resources are not a game-changer; they only provide us with a long extra-period
- A natural gas economy for the United States is not a possibility if it is to be based primarily on domestic gas resources

