Earth, Earth’s Moon, Mars Balloons

Grades:  K-8        Prep Time:  ~10 Minutes        Lesson Time:  75 Mins

WHAT STUDENTS DO: Construct a Planetary Model

Curiosity about our place in space and whether we can travel to distant worlds beyond our own depends upon understanding the size, distance, and other characteristics of moons and planets in our solar system. For this activity, students will construct a balloon scale model to understand the relative sizes of the Earth, Earth’s Moon and Mars in relation to each other and their relative distance to each other at this scale. They will use this model to predict distances and reflect on how scientists use models to construct explanations through the scientific process. In this collection, this activity introduces the concept of models, which will be built upon in subsequent lessons, as well as the first set of Earth/Mars comparisons.

NRC CORE & COMPONENT QUESTIONS

WHAT IS THE UNIVERSE & WHAT IS EARTH’S PLACE IN IT?
NRC Core Question: ESS1: Earth’s Place in the Universe

What are the predictable patterns caused by Earth’s movement in the solar system?
NRC ESS1.B: Earth & the Solar System

INSTRUCTIONAL OBJECTIVES

Students will be able to construct a simple model
1.0 About This Activity

Mars lessons leverage *A Taxonomy for Learning, Teaching, and Assessing* by Anderson and Krathwohl (2001) (see Section 4 and *Teacher Guide* at the end of this document). This taxonomy provides a framework to help organize and align learning objectives, activities, and assessments. The taxonomy has two dimensions. The first dimension, cognitive process, provides categories for classifying lesson objectives along a continuum, at increasingly higher levels of thinking; these verbs allow educators to align their instructional objectives and assessments of learning outcomes to an appropriate level in the framework in order to build and support student cognitive processes. The second dimension, knowledge, allows educators to place objectives along a scale from concrete to abstract. By employing Anderson and Krathwohl’s (2001) taxonomy, educators can better understand the construction of instructional objectives and learning outcomes in terms of the types of student knowledge and cognitive processes they intend to support. All activities provide a mapping to this taxonomy in the *Teacher Guide* (at the end of this lesson), which carries additional educator resources.

Combined with the aforementioned taxonomy, the lesson design also draws upon Miller, Linn, and Gronlund’s (2009) methods for (a) constructing a general, overarching, instructional objective with specific, supporting, and measurable learning outcomes that help assure the instructional objective is met, and (b) appropriately assessing student performance in the intended learning-outcome areas through rubrics and other measures. Construction of rubrics also draws upon Lanz’s (2004) guidance, designed to measure science achievement.

*How Students Learn: Science in the Classroom* (Donovan & Bransford, 2005) advocates the use of a research-based instructional model for improving students’ grasp of central science concepts. Based on conceptual-change theory in science education, the 5E Instructional Model (BSCS, 2006) includes five steps for teaching and learning: Engage, Explore, Explain, Elaborate, and Evaluate. The Engage stage is used like a traditional warm-up to pique student curiosity, interest, and other motivation-related behaviors and to assess students’ prior knowledge. The Explore step allows students to deepen their understanding and challenges existing preconceptions and misconceptions, offering alternative explanations that help them form new schemata. In Explain, students communicate what they have learned, illustrating initial conceptual change. The Elaborate phase gives students the opportunity to apply their newfound knowledge to novel situations and supports the reinforcement of new schemata or its transfer. Finally, the Evaluate stage serves as a time for students’ own formative assessment, as well as for educators’ diagnosis of areas of confusion and differentiation of further instruction. This five-part sequence is the organizing tool for the Imagine Mars instructional series. The 5E stages can be cyclical and iterative.
2.0 Materials

Required Materials

Please supply:

• Each student will need a single balloon in one of three colors: blue (Earth), red (Mars) or white (Earth’s Moon). For example, for a class of 30 students, you would need 30 balloons total: 10 blue, 10 red, 10 white.
• 10 Cloth Tape Measures (or meter sticks and pieces of string)
• 10 Calculators
• LCD projector and computer with access to internet
• Access to images on the following websites:
  o http://hirise.lpl.arizona.edu/earthmoon.php
  o http://marsrovers.jpl.nasa.gov/gallery/press/spirit/20040311a/11-ml-02-earth-A067R1_br.jpg

Please Print:

From Student Guide

(A) Earth, Earth’s Moon, Mars Comparison – 1 per student
(B) Relative Size & Distance Sheet – 1 per student
(C) Student Reflection – 1 per student

Optional Materials

From Teacher Guide

(D) “Earth, Earth’s Moon, Mars Balloons” Assessment Rubrics
(E) Alignment of Instructional Objective(s) and Learning Outcome(s) with Knowledge and Cognitive Process Types
3.0 Vocabulary

Models  a simulation that helps explain natural and human-made systems and shows possible flaws

Prediction  the use of knowledge to identify and explain observations or changes in advance (NSES, 1996)

Relative Distance  how far away objects are when compared to one another

Relative Size  how large objects are when compared to one another

Relationship  a connection among and between objects

Scale  a measurement that will represent a standard measurement for comparison among objects

4.0 Instructional Objectives, Learning Outcomes, & Standards

Instructional objectives, standards, and learning outcomes are aligned with the National Research Council’s *A Framework for K-12 Science Education: Practices, Crosscutting Concepts, and Core Ideas*, which serves as a basis for upcoming “Next-generation Science Standards.” Current National Science Education Standards (NSES) and other relevant standards are listed for now, but will be updated when the new standards are available.

The following chart provides details on alignment among the core and component NRC questions, instructional objectives, learning outcomes, and educational standards.

• Your instructional objectives (IO) for this lesson align with the NRC Framework and education standards.

• You will know that you have achieved these instructional objectives if students demonstrate the related learning outcomes (LO).

• You will know the level to which your students have achieved the learning outcomes by using the suggested rubrics (see Teacher Guide at the end of this lesson).

Quick View of Standards Alignment:

The Teacher Guide at the end of this lesson provides full details of standards alignment, rubrics, and the way in which instructional objectives, learning outcomes, 5E activity procedures, and assessments were derived through, and align with, Anderson and Krathwohl’s (2001) taxonomy of knowledge and cognitive process types. For convenience, a quick view follows:
### WHAT IS THE UNIVERSE & WHAT IS EARTH’S PLACE IN IT?

**NRC Core Question: ESS1: Earth’s Place in the Universe**

What are the predictable patterns caused by Earth’s movement in the solar system?

**NRC ESS1.B: Earth & the Solar System**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instructional Objective</th>
<th>Learning Outcomes</th>
<th>Standards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Students will be able</td>
<td>to construct a simple model</td>
<td>NSES: UNIFYING CONCEPTS &amp; PROCESSES:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LO1a. to compare the relative size and distance of the Earth, Earth’s Moon, and Mars</td>
<td>K-12: (A2) Evidence, models, and explanations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LO1b. to use a calculated scale for establishing relative distances</td>
<td>NSES (D): EARTH &amp; SPACE SCIENCES:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LO1c. to predict using a model</td>
<td>Earth in the Solar System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LO1d. to explain scientific processes (scale, use of models)</td>
<td>Grades 5-8: E3a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This activity also aligns with:

**NRC SCIENCE & ENGINEERING PRACTICES**

2) Developing and using models  
5) Using mathematical and computational thinking

**NRC SCIENCE & ENGINEERING CROSSCUTTING CONCEPTS**

4) Systems and system models

**21st CENTURY SKILLS**

- Critical Thinking and Problem Solving  
- Communication  
- Collaboration
5.0 Procedure

PREPARATION (~10 minutes)

A. Print handouts (A), (B), and (C) for each student.
B. Access pictures online

STEP 1: ENGAGE (~10 minutes)
Exploring Sizes of Planets

A. Using (A) Earth, Earth’s Moon, Mars Comparison worksheet, ask students to make a prediction using a drawing of the Earth, Earth’s Moon, and Mars, showing what they think the sizes are in relationship to each other.

B. Look at the image of Earth and Earth’s Moon from the Mars perspective (http://hirise.lpl.arizona.edu/earthmoon.php) from an image taken from a spacecraft orbiting Mars. Discuss the size of the Earth and Earth’s Moon in relationship to each other and from representations in books, charts, and other materials. Does it look like the Earth and Earth’s Moon are the same size?


STEP 2: EXPLORE (~15 minutes)
Representing planetary objects with a simple model.

A. Discuss how people use models to represent ideas or objects. Point out that scientists and engineers create models to understand an idea or object. Today, we will be making models of the Earth, Earth’s Moon, and Mars to represent their sizes and distances to scale.

B. Distribute blue balloons to 1/3 of the class, red balloons to 1/3, and white balloons to the final 1/3. Explain that the three balloons represent the Earth (blue), Earth’s Moon (white), and Mars (red).

C. Group students in groups of 3, each with a different color balloon.

D. Ask the students with the blue balloon to blow their balloons up until it is 63 centimeters in circumference. You may need to demonstrate for the students how to measure the circumference using a cloth tape measure or a piece of string and a meter stick.
E. Once the balloon is the appropriate circumference, ask the students to tie off the balloon. This balloon will represent Earth.

F. Ask the students to fill in (B) Relative Size and Distance Sheet with the circumference of Earth.

G. Students will now predict the relative circumferences of Earth’s Moon and Mars based on the size of Earth and record that circumference prediction on the (B) Relative Size and Distance Sheet in the “Balloon Circumference Prediction” column.

H. Explain to students that the scale for this model is 63,800,000 times smaller than the real thing. Ask students to multiply the balloon circumference (63 cm) X 63,800,000 (scale factor) to find the actual diameter of Earth (4,019,400,000 cm or 40,194 km).

I. To find the “Actual Balloon Circumferences” for Earth’s Moon and Mars, the circumferences have been provided for both. The students will need to divide these by 63,800,000. They should find the model of Earth’s Moon is 17 cm and of Mars is 33 cm.

_differentiation Tip:_ Students may then convert centimeters to kilometers.

J. Students should now inflate Earth’s Moon and Mars balloon to the appropriate sizes and tie them off.

**STEP 3: EXPLAIN** (~5 minutes)
Explaining scale in a model.

A. Discuss the idea of scale with students. Point out that it is obvious that the planets and moons are not as small as the balloons, but because we calculated them using a scale, the sizes represent the bodies in relationship to each other. Therefore, the Earth can be estimated as twice as big as Mars, and 4 times bigger than Earth’s Moon.

**STEP 4: ELABORATE** (~15 minutes)
Using a model to make predictions.

A. Ask students to now make a prediction regarding the relative distances between Earth, Earth’s Moon, and Mars.

B. They should stand as a group, arranging themselves based on their beliefs about the relative distances and measure these distances. These measurements will represent their prediction, to be completed on the (B) Relative Size and Distance Sheet.

C. Student will use their new understanding of scale to calculate the relative distances of the Earth, Earth’s Moon, and Mars. They will continue to use the 63,800,000-scale model.
D. Once students have calculated the scaled differences, ask them to begin arranging themselves into the appropriate distances.

E. Eventually a student will say they need to step out of the room to get to Mars. This moment is a great time to mention that the distance to Mars is so great that, if we were to place it correctly according to this scale, it would actually be ¾ of a mile or 1.21km away. As a frame of reference for how far that is, provide a visual cue of a familiar neighborhood location recognizable by the students that is about ¾ of a mile from the school.

F. Discuss with the students the amount of time it would take us to travel to Earth’s Moon and Mars. Typically, it takes 2 - 3 days to reach Earth’s Moon using a rocket-powered vessel, while it would take approximately 6-11 months to reach Mars with robotic spacecraft, depending on where the Earth and Mars are in their orbits at the time of launch.

Apple Differentiation Tip: Ask students to convert cm to km and/or use scientific notation for the planet distances.

STEP 5: EVALUATE (~20 minutes)
Reflecting metacognitively on the use of modeling in the scientific process

A. Ask students to complete the (C) Student Reflection Sheet.

6.0 Extensions

Explore the relative size and distance of the moons of Mars or other planets in our solar system.

7.0 Evaluation/Assessment

Use the student sheets as a formative and summative assessment, allowing students to improve their work and learn from mistakes during class. The checklist evaluates the activities using the National Science Education Standards.
8.0 References

EARTH, EARTH’S MOON, & MARS BALLOONS

(A) Student Handout. Earth, Earth’s Moon, Mars Comparisons

NAME: ____________________________

1. What is the estimated difference in size between the Earth and Mars?

2. Make a drawing of your prediction. What do you think the differences are between the sizes of the Earth, Earth’s Moon, and Mars?

3. Explain why you think your prediction is true.
### Student Worksheet: Relative Size and Distance Sheet

**NAME:** ______________________________

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planet/Moon</th>
<th>Circumference (cm)</th>
<th>Balloon Circumference Prediction (cm)</th>
<th>Actual Balloon Circumference (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Earth</td>
<td></td>
<td>63</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earth’s Moon</td>
<td>1,091,500,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mars</td>
<td>2,133,300,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planet/Moon</th>
<th>Average Distance (cm)</th>
<th>Balloon Distance Prediction (cm)</th>
<th>Actual Balloon Distance (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Earth to Earth’s Moon</td>
<td>38,400,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earth to Mars</td>
<td>7,800,000,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Show your work:**
1. What did you find most surprising during this investigation?

2. Why did we use a scale to create our model of Earth, Earth’s Moon, and Mars?

3. How do you think scientists would use a planetary scale model? Give an example.

4. Revisit your original prediction. Was it correct? What do you know now that would improve your prediction?
You will know the level to which your students have achieved the **Learning Outcomes**, and thus the **Instructional Objective(s)**, by using the suggested **Rubrics** below.

**Instructional Objective 1: To construct a simple model**

**Related Standard(s)** (will be replaced when new NRC Framework-based science standards are released):

**National Science Education Standards (NSES)**

**UNIFYING CONCEPTS & PROCESSES**

**Grades K-12 (A2) Evidence, models, and explanations**

Evidence consists of observations and data on which to base scientific explanations. Using evidence to understand interactions allows individuals to predict changes in natural and designed systems. Models are tentative schemes or structures that correspond to real objects, events, or classes of events, and that have explanatory power. Models help scientists and engineers understand how things work. Models take many forms, including physical objects, plans, mental constructs, mathematical equations, and computer simulations.

Scientific explanations incorporate existing scientific knowledge and new evidence from observations, experiments, or models into internally consistent, logical statements. Different terms, such as “hypothesis,” “model,” “law,” “principle,” “theory,” and “paradigm” are used to describe various types of scientific explanations.

As students develop and as they understand more science concepts and processes, their explanations should become more sophisticated. That is, their scientific explanations should more frequently include a rich scientific knowledge base, evidence of logic, higher levels of analysis, greater tolerance of criticism and uncertainty, and a clearer demonstration of the relationship between logic, evidence, and current knowledge.

**National Science Education Standards (NSES)**

**(D) Earth & Space Sciences**

**Grades 5-8**

**(D3a)** The earth is the third planet from the sun in a system that includes the moon, the sun, eight other planets and their moons, and smaller objects, such as asteroids and comets. The sun, an average star, is the central and largest body in the solar system.
### Related Rubrics for the Assessment of Learning Outcomes Associated with the Above Standard(s):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Learning Outcome</th>
<th>Expert</th>
<th>Proficient</th>
<th>Intermediate</th>
<th>Beginner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>LO1a: to compare</strong>&lt;br&gt;the relative size and distance of the Earth, Earth’s Moon, and Mars</td>
<td>Answers are correct and all work is shown.</td>
<td>Answers are correct and some work is shown.</td>
<td>Answers are mostly correct and some work is shown.</td>
<td>Answers are not correct/no work is shown.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LO1b: to use a calculated scale for establishing relative distances</strong></td>
<td>Procedures are carried out correctly.</td>
<td>Procedures are carried out mostly correctly.</td>
<td>Procedures are carried out somewhat correctly.</td>
<td>Procedures are not carried out correctly.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LO1c: to predict</strong>&lt;br&gt;using a model</td>
<td>Prediction is logical and based on evidence from prior examinations of the model planets. Predictions show insightful interpretation of the data.</td>
<td>Prediction is logical and based on evidence from prior examinations of the model planets.</td>
<td>Prediction is logical and uses some evidence from prior examinations of model planets.</td>
<td>Prediction is not logical or based on evidence from prior examinations of the model planets.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LO1d: to explain</strong>&lt;br&gt;scientific processes (scale, use of models)</td>
<td>Answer is thoughtful and complete.</td>
<td>Answer is complete.</td>
<td>Answer is somewhat complete.</td>
<td>Answer is not complete.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This lesson adapts Anderson and Krathwohl’s (2001) taxonomy, which has two domains: Knowledge and Cognitive Process, each with types and subtypes (listed below). Verbs for objectives and outcomes in this lesson align with the suggested knowledge and cognitive process area and are mapped on the next page(s). Activity procedures and assessments are designed to support the target knowledge/cognitive process.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Knowledge</th>
<th>Cognitive Process</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>A. Factual</strong></td>
<td>1. <strong>Remember</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aa: Knowledge of Terminology</td>
<td>1.1 Recognizing (Identifying)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ab: Knowledge of Specific Details &amp; Elements</td>
<td>1.2 Recalling (Retrieving)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B. Conceptual</strong></td>
<td>2. <strong>Understand</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba: Knowledge of classifications and categories</td>
<td>2.1 Interpreting (Clarifying, Paraphrasing, Representing, Translating)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bb: Knowledge of principles and generalizations</td>
<td>2.2 Exemplifying (Illustrating, Instantiating)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bc: Knowledge of theories, models, and structures</td>
<td>2.3 Classifying (Categorizing, Subsuming)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C. Procedural</strong></td>
<td>3. <strong>Apply</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca: Knowledge of subject-specific skills and algorithms</td>
<td>3.1 Executing (Carrying out)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cb: Knowledge of subject-specific techniques and methods</td>
<td>3.2 Implementing (Using)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cc: Knowledge of criteria for determining when to use appropriate procedures</td>
<td><strong>D. Metacognitive</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Da: Strategic Knowledge</td>
<td>4. <strong>Analyze</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Db: Knowledge about cognitive tasks, including appropriate contextual and conditional knowledge</td>
<td>4.1 Differentiating (Discriminating, distinguishing, focusing, selecting)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dc: Self-knowledge</td>
<td>4.2 Organizing (Finding coherence, integrating, outlining, parsing, structuring)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>D. Metacognitive</strong></td>
<td>5. <strong>Evaluate</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Da: Strategic Knowledge</td>
<td>5.1 Checking (Coordinating, Detecting, Monitoring, Testing)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Db: Knowledge about cognitive tasks, including appropriate contextual and conditional knowledge</td>
<td>5.2 Critiquing (Judging)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dc: Self-knowledge</td>
<td>6. <strong>Create</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.1 Generating (Hypothesizing)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.2 Planning (Designing)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.3 Producing (Constructing)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(E) Teacher Resource. Placement of Instructional Objective and Learning Outcomes in Taxonomy (2 of 3)
(E) Teacher Resource. Placement of Instructional Objective and Learning Outcomes in Taxonomy (3 of 3)

The design of this activity leverages Anderson & Krathwohl’s (2001) taxonomy as a framework. Below are the knowledge and cognitive process types students are intended to acquire per the instructional objective(s) and learning outcomes written for this lesson. The specific, scaffolded 5E steps in this lesson (see Section 5.0 Procedures) and the formative assessments (worksheets in the Student Guide and rubrics in the Teacher Guide) are written to support those instructional objective(s) and learning outcomes. Refer to (G, 1 of 3) for the full list of categories in the taxonomy from which the following were selected. The prior page (E, 2 of 3) provides a visual description of the placement of learning outcomes that enable the overall instructional objective(s) to be met.

At the end of the lesson, students will be able

**IO1:** to construct a simple model

**6.3:** to construct

**Bc:** knowledge of theories, models, and structures

To meet that instructional objective, students will demonstrate the abilities:

**LO1a:** to compare size/distance in model

**2.6:** to compare

**Bc:** knowledge of theories, models, and structures

**LO1b:** to use a calculated scale

**3.1:** to use

**Ca:** knowledge of subject-specific skills and algorithms

**LO1c:** to predict using a model

**6.1:** to predict

**Bb:** knowledge of principles and generalizations

**LO1d:** to explain scientific processes

**2.7:** to explain

**Da:** strategic knowledge